

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,955	RUBINSZTAJN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Feely	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Michael J. Feely.

(2) Scott A. McCollister (Reg. No. 33,961).

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A call was placed to Mr. McCollister in February to inform him that the address information in the case was no longer valid. There was no official "change of address" on file, and the post office returned the final office action to the patent office due to the insufficient address. A follow-up call was placed on April 13, 2006, informing Mr. McCollister that no "change of address" had yet been filed, and that the case was now abandoned.